

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/824,324	04/02/2001	Takahiro Hoshida	5000-4867 9409	
7590 01/12/2004			EXAMINER	
Kurt E. Richter			LOPEZ, FRANK D	
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 345 Park Avenue New York, NY 10154			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3745	
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004	23

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/824,324	HOSHIDA ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	F. Daniel Lopez	3745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee have been filed, and the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee have been filed, and the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) are also set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.19 in the fee have been filed in the	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) Methey raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 20 and 21.						
Claim(s) objected to: 7.						
Claim(s) rejected: 4,6,8-19,22 and 23.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. ☐ Other:		,				
		F. Daniel Lopez Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3745				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

1,0

¥.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the limitations added to claims 22 and 23 do not appear to be supported by the specification (it is unclear what paragraph 0064 is, since the specification is not so numbered), since the width decreases from the radially outer portion to a first point, then increases.